Date: Example for Traditional CAO / CAFOs w/ crop of	& past	ure ac	res
Operation Name: *Typically small plain-sect & large CAFO dair	ies, laye	rs, mul	tispecies
Person (s) Interviewed (Operator):		P	
Report Completed By (Inspector):			
Others Present:			
Date of Plan Approval:			
Operation Type (CAO, VAO or CAFO):			
Date of next 3-year Plan review: Prior to September 30th 20xx			
Program Complia	nce		
(* = Potential Act 3		tions)	
1. Nutrient Management Plan Implementation	Yes	No	N/A
a. Is the operation current with its required plan review deadline?		_*	
b. Are actual animal numbers consistent with the plan?		- *	
c. Acreage receiving manure application			
d. Does plan information and mapping represent operation?		-*	
e. Are all sources of nutrient pollution addressed in the plan?		□*	
f. Is plan implementation on schedule?		-*	
g. Are installed BMPs being maintained?		□*	
h. Are manure application rates being followed?		□*	
If no, explain:			
i. Is a certified manure hauler or broker being utilized?			
Hauler/Broker name and certification number:			
j. Is a "current" Conservation Plan or Ag E & S Plan in effect?		□*	
k. Are all Critical Runoff Problem Areas (CRPAs) addressed?		-*	
I. Is excess manure handled according to the plan?		- *	
m. Is the manure spreader calibrated to apply planned rates?		- *	
n. Is emergency stacking required in the plan?			
If yes, is the site identified on plan maps?		-*	
o. Are required in-field stacking procedures implemented?			
If yes, are site(s) identified on plan maps?		- *	
If yes, are site(s) appropriate?		-*	
Is manure applied within 120 days (CAFOs 15 days)			
or covered?		□*	
p. Are fall/winter manure applications according to plan?		-*	
q. Are the required setbacks being observed?		-*	
r. Are pastured animals being managed as outlined in the plan?		-*	
2. Record Keeping; Are the following records maintained at the ope	ration?		2111 y
a. Crop yields:		□*	
b. Manure/fertilizer application rates (includes comm. hauler):		-*	
c. Soil test results current:		-*	
d. Manure analysis results:		-*	

Document 1

	<u>Yes</u>	No	N/A	
e. Manure export sheets:		□*		
f. Nutrient balance sheets:		□ *		
g. Rerun of the P-Index every 3 years:		- *		
3. Manure Storage Information (where applicable)				
Note: Although they may not be Act 38 violations, "No" answers in the	is secti	on requi	re remedi	al
action.		-		
a. Storage type and size:				
b. Is perimeter fence and warning signage in place/maintained? NA	for dry	stackin	g structur	es
c. Is the structure free of significant cracks or structural damage?				
d. Are embankments free of manure saturated areas (seepage)?				
e. Are interior/exterior slopes free of holes, trees or erosion?only	applica			aoons.
f. Has storage been certified by a Professional Engineer? NA for dry	- 7 7			900
g. Is Emergency Response Plan available on the operation?		_* °		
g. 13 Emergency Response Fian available on the operation.	ш	ш	Ш	
4. Animal Concentration Areas (ACAs)				
a. Are there ACAs on the operation (farmstead or pasture)?		-		
SAME AND ASSESSED AS AN ADDRESS SAME AND ASSESSED AS A STANDARD AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED AS A SECOND		□ -*		
b. Is surface water adequately protected from runoff?		□* -*		
c. Is erosion properly controlled at stream access point?		□*·		
d. Is manure collected and handled appropriately?		_*		
e. Is animal access to stream properly controlled?		□ *		
f. Are pastures free of ACAs where runoff is reaching a stream?		□*		
Inspector Notes:	Yes		<u>No</u>	
Are there violations of Act 38 regulations?				
If yes, specific violations (indicate section number and letter above):				
Are corrective actions needed? With or without violation				
If yes, set approximate re-inspection date:				
Further action required (indicate section number and letter above):				
Additional Comments:				
Try to offer at least some positives, even if violations have occ	urred.			
Signature of Inspector:				
		-		
Signature of Operator:(Operator signature does not signify guilt or agree				

Date:		oill to	
Operation Name: <u>Example for Confinement CAO / CAFO all exp</u>	ort ope	rations	
Person (s) Interviewed (Operator):			
Report Completed By (Inspector):			
Others Present:			
Date of Plan Approval:			25-5-05
Operation Type (CAO, VAO or CAFO):			
Date of next 3-year Plan review: Prior to September 30th 20xx	s jo and it	enudo os	
Program Complian	nce		
(* = Potential Act 3	8 Viola	tions)	
1. Nutrient Management Plan Implementation	Yes	No	N/A
a. Is the operation current with its required plan review deadline?	920042	-*	
b. Are actual animal numbers consistent with the plan?		□*	
c. Acreage receiving manure application			
d. Does plan information and mapping represent operation?		□*	
e. Are all sources of nutrient pollution addressed in the plan?		□*	
f. Is plan implementation on schedule?		□*	
g. Are installed BMPs being maintained?		□*	
h. Are manure application rates being followed?		□*	
If no, explain:			
i. Is a certified manure hauler or broker being utilized?		Data	
Hauler/Broker name and certification number:	10 A 3 10 100		
j. Is a "current" Conservation Plan or Ag E & S Plan in effect?		□*	
k. Are all Critical Runoff Problem Areas (CRPAs) addressed?		□*	
l. Is excess manure handled according to the plan?		□*	
m. Is the manure spreader calibrated to apply planned rates?		□*	
n. Is emergency stacking required in the plan?			
If yes, is the site identified on plan maps?		□*	
o. Are required in-field stacking procedures implemented?			
If yes, are site(s) identified on plan maps?		□*	
If yes, are site(s) appropriate?		□*	
Is manure applied within 120 days (CAFOs 15 days)	• 0		
or covered?		-*	
p. Are fall/winter manure applications according to plan?		□*	
q. Are the required setbacks being observed?		-*	
r. Are pastured animals being managed as outlined in the plan?		□*	
2. Record Keeping; Are the following records maintained at the operation	ration?		
a. Crop yields:		□*	
b. Manure/fertilizer application rates (includes comm. hauler):		□*	
c. Soil test results current:		□*	
d. Manure analysis results: NA for manure going for non-Ag use	П	□*	

	Yes	No	N/A
e. Manure export sheets:		<u>*</u>	
f. Nutrient balance sheets:		□*	
g. Rerun of the P-Index every 3 years:	.	- *	
g			
3. Manure Storage Information (where applicable)			
Note: Although they may not be Act 38 violations, "No" answers in th	is sectio	n requi	re remedial
action.		•	
a. Storage type and size:			
b. Is perimeter fence and warning signage in place/maintained? NA	for stac	king str	uctures
c. Is the structure free of significant cracks or structural damage?		٥.	
d. Are embankments free of manure saturated areas (seepage)?			
e. Are interior/exterior slopes free of holes, trees or erosion?only			
f. Has storage been certified by a Professional Engineer?NA for dry			
g. Is Emergency Response Plan available on the operation?		□*	П
g. 13 Efficigency Response Fian available on the operation:			
4. Animal Concentration Areas (ACAs)		. 1,11	
a. Are there ACAs on the operation (farmstead or pasture)?			
		_ _*	
b. Is surface water adequately protected from runoff?			
c. Is erosion properly controlled at stream access point?		-*	
d. Is manure collected and handled appropriately?		-*	
e. Is animal access to stream properly controlled?		-*	
f. Are pastures free of ACAs where runoff is reaching a stream?		□*	
Inspector Notes:	Yes		No
Are there violations of Act 38 regulations?			
If yes, specific violations (indicate section number and letter above):			
if yes, specific violations (indicate section number and letter above).			
	7 1 4 1		ETT 12-12-1
Are corrective actions needed? With or without violation			
If yes, set approximate re-inspection date:			
Further action required (indicate section number and letter above):			100
		1.759	118 = -
for the first of Argument (in the first of t	N-1		
	1	2	
in a film and the contract of			
Additional Comments:		27	(c
Try to offer at least some positives, even if violations have occur	red.		
			*
Signature of Inspector:	Loite		
	3115		
Signature of Operator:			
(Operator signature does not signify guilt or agree	ement)		

NM Status Review Scenarios

1. Confinement CAO / CAFO all export:

- 145,000 head, 4-barn, broiler operation (non-organic) on 13 acres
- Uses a dry stacking structure for manure or loads out directly onto trucks
- Uses a mortality composting facility. Mortality exported along with manure
- No crops or pasture
- Manure exported to mushroom growers for composting via commercial manure hauler

NM Status Revealed the following:

- 1. Manure exported to an importer not listed in NMP and without having a signed agreement or NBSs.
- 2. Manure export records were not complete.

2. Traditional CAO / CAFO with crops and pasture:

- 65 cow Amish-dairy, raises own replacements, on 40 acres
- Utilizes an in ground circular concrete tank for liquid manure, directly field applies bedded pack.
- Applies manure himself to his ground, but hires a commercial hauler to apply to his 2 importers
- Grows what crops he can, including cover crops, but also buys feed.
- Cows & dry cows have access to a pasture that is divided by a small fenced out stream w/ crossing.
- Some cropland boarders the small stream.

NM Status Revealed the following:

- 1. Manure mixed with spilled feed is leaving the western corner of rear barn above the pond, running downslope towards the pond, concentrating in the diversion around the pond, before flowing towards the stream in woods. Runoff has killed the vegetation in and leading to the diversion, and is flowing towards the stream in a concentrated flow.
- 2. Roof Runoff Structure (scheduled for Fall 2020) and VTA (Scheduled for Fall 2020) not done.
- 3. Multiple safety concerns at circular tank (gate off hinges, broken fence, and no safety signs)
- 4. Manure exported to farmers not listed in NMP or not having signed agreements and NBSs
- 5. Additional fields are being farmed which are not included in current Nutrient Management Plan
- 6. Manure application records were a mess, offering very little detail & with no way to determine rate per acre. Without more to go on, overapplication appears to have happened on some fields.

Date: Example for Confinement CAO / CA	ro an ex	ort	
Operation Name: * CAFO broiler operation example	<mark>e</mark> diama m		
Person (s) Interviewed (Operator): <u>I.B. Broilerman</u>	los vere ye		
Report Completed By (Inspector):			
Others Present:			
Date of Plan Approval: September 2, 2019	iles francisky	<u> </u>	
Operation Type (CAO, VAO or CAFO): <u>CAFO</u>	ng r lo sod.		
Date of next 3-year Plan review: Prior to September 30th 2022	Hoosa Jas	arts and	
Program Comp	liance		
(* = Potential A	ct 38 Viola	tions)	
1. Nutrient Management Plan Implementation	Yes	No	N/A
a. Is the operation current with its required plan review deadline	? X	-*	
b. Are actual animal numbers consistent with the plan?	X	□*	
c. Acreage receiving manure application <u>0 acres</u>	ally mayers		
d. Does plan information and mapping represent operation?	X	□*	
e. Are all sources of nutrient pollution addressed in the plan?	X	-*	
f. Is plan implementation on schedule?	X	□*	
g. Are installed BMPs being maintained?	X	□*	
h. Are manure application rates being followed?		□*	X
If no, explain: No crops, all export			
i. Is a certified manure hauler or broker being utilized?	X		ο.
Hauler/Broker name and certification number:	MHB 513	Same or	
j. Is a "current" Conservation Plan or Ag E & S Plan in effect?		□*	X
k. Are all Critical Runoff Problem Areas (CRPAs) addressed?	X	-*	
l. Is excess manure handled according to the plan?		X*1	
m. Is the manure spreader calibrated to apply planned rates?		-*	X
n. Is emergency stacking required in the plan?			X
If yes, is the site identified on plan maps?		□ *	X
o. Are required <u>in-field</u> stacking procedures implemented?			X
If yes, are site(s) identified on plan maps?		□*	X
If yes, are site(s) appropriate?		□*	X
Is manure applied within 120 days (CAFOs 15 days)		STORE'S	
or covered?		□ *	X
p. Are fall/winter manure applications according to plan?		□*	\mathbf{X}
q. Are the required setbacks being observed?		□*	X
A		□ *	X
r. Are pastured animals being managed as outlined in the plan?	and a little		
r. Are pastured animals being managed as outlined in the plan?	nem to 11,150		
2. Record Keeping; Are the following records maintained at the		60 9 2	eens less
		_*	X
2. Record Keeping; Are the following records maintained at the	operation?		XX
2. Record Keeping; Are the following records maintained at the a. Crop yields:	operation?	-*	X X X X

	Yes	No	N/A
e. Manure export sheets:		X^{*2}	
f. Nutrient balance sheets:		-*	X
g. Rerun of the P-Index every 3 years		□*	X
3. Manure Storage Information (where applicable)			
Note: Although they may not be Act 38 violations, "No" answers in th	is sectio	n requi	re remedial
action.			
a. Storage type and size: 60' x 40' roofed stacking shed			
b. Is perimeter fence and warning signage in place/maintained?		□*	X
c. Is the structure free of significant cracks or structural damage?	X		
d. Are embankments free of manure saturated areas (seepage)?			X
e. Are interior/exterior slopes free of holes, trees or erosion?		- *	X
f. Has storage been certified by a Professional Engineer?		□*	X
g. Is Emergency Response Plan available on the operation?	X	□ *	
4. Animal Concentration Areas (ACAs)			
a. Are there ACAs on the operation (farmstead or pasture)?			X
b. Is surface water adequately protected from runoff?		-*	X
c. Is erosion properly controlled at stream access point?		-*	X
d. Is manure collected and handled appropriately?		-*	X
e. Is animal access to stream properly controlled?		-*	X
f. Are pastures free of ACAs where runoff is reaching a stream?		-*	X .
Inspector Notes:	Yes		No
Are there violations of Act 38 regulations?	X		
If yes, specific violations (indicate section number and letter above):			
1L [or Footnote 1] Failure to follow approved NMP: Manure exported 2e [or Footnote 2] Failure to keep required records: Manure export re			The second secon
Are corrective actions needed?	х		
If yes, set approximate re-inspection date:10/22/21	^		
Further action required (indicate section number and letter above):			
1. Either follow your NMP by only exporting manure to those imported	rs listed	in vour	NMP. OR
update your NMP to include a signed agreement and NBSs for each im		iii youi	141111 , 011,
2. Keep accurate records of the amount of, and when manure was exp		Mulch	Products are Hs
2. Reep accurate records of the amount of, and when manare was exp	orted to	ividicii	riodacts are os
Additional Comments:			
Stacking shed and loading areas were clean of all manure, and vegetat	tion dow	nslope	from these areas
was very well maintained maintaining a good vegetative filter.			
Signature of Inspector:			
Signature of Operator:			
(Operator signature does not signify guilt or agree	ement)		

September 2, 2017

I.B. Broilerman 110 John Deere Road Anytown, PA 54321

Re: Requirement to Implement Your Current Nutrient Management Plan

Dear Mr. Broilerman,

This letter is sent as a follow up to September 1, 2021 site visit where I assessed the status of your implementation of your Act 38 (commonly referred to as Pennsylvania's Nutrient and Odor Management Act) Nutrient Management Plan (NMP). Attached to this letter is a copy of the inspection report which outlines the various findings from my visit.

As is indicated on the inspection report, you were found to be out of compliance with your

obligations under Act 38, relating to the following issues:

Non-Compliance finding	Corrective action required	Timeframe to complete corrective action
1. Failure to follow approved Plan: Excess manure was exported to an importer not listed in the approved plan and without having a signed agreement or Nutrient Balance Sheets.	Either follow your NMP by only exporting manure to those importers listed in your NMP as approved, OR , with the help of your certified NM planner, update or amend your NMP to include a signed agreement and NBSs for each importer.	Prior to exporting manure to any new importer
2. Failure to keep required records: Manure export records were not complete.	Keep accurate records of the amount and when manure was exported to Mulch Products are Us	Following current flock of birds, and prior to October 15, 2021,

The State Conservation Commission's (SCC) program policies oblige you to implement the required corrective actions within the timeframe provided in the above schedule in order to regain compliance with the law. I am directed to revisit your operation in order to confirm that you have implemented the required corrective actions outlined above. I plan to visit your farm on October 22nd, 2021 at 1pm in order to reassess your operation. Please make yourself available on that date so we can discuss the corrective actions you have taken to address these non-compliant issues. If you cannot meet on this date and time, please call me at least 5 days prior to this date so that we can reschedule to a date that meets your schedule.

If you have any questions relating to this obligation, please contact me at the conservation district office so that I can provide whatever additional information or direction you may need.

Sincerely,

Nutrient Management Technician

Encl: On-site Status Review Report

cc: Jared Lescavage, DEP

Date: Example for Traditional CAO / CAFOs w/ C	crop & pa	<u>asture ac</u>	res
Operation Name: * small plain-sect dairy example			
Person (s) Interviewed (Operator):Eli Stoltzfus			
Report Completed By (Inspector):Mike Brubaker			
Others Present:	Text of the		
Date of Plan Approval: August 13, 2020			
Operation Type (CAO, VAO or CAFO):CAO			
Date of next 3-year Plan review: Prior to September 30th 2023	3		
Program Co			
(* = Potentia	l Act 38 V	iolations)	
1. Nutrient Management Plan Implementation		es No	N/A
a. Is the operation current with its required plan review deadl	ine? X		
b. Are actual animal numbers consistent with the plan?	X	□*	
c. Acreage receiving manure application 38 acres	_		
d. Does plan information and mapping represent operation?		X*4	
e. Are all sources of nutrient pollution addressed in the plan?	X		
f. Is plan implementation on schedule?		X*2	
g. Are installed BMPs being maintained?		X*2	
h. Are manure application rates being followed?		X*5	
If no, explain: <u>all fields received same amount, with som</u>			urring
i. Is a certified manure hauler or broker being utilized?	X		
Hauler/Broker name and certification number:		rtin MHB-4	128
j. Is a "current" Conservation Plan or Ag E & S Plan in effect	? X		
k. Are all Critical Runoff Problem Areas (CRPAs) addressed?		X^{*1}	
l. Is excess manure handled according to the plan?		X*3	
m. Is the manure spreader calibrated to apply planned rates?	X		
n. Is emergency stacking required in the plan?	X		
If yes, is the site identified on plan maps?	X	□*	
o. Are required <u>in-field</u> stacking procedures implemented?			X
If yes, are site(s) identified on plan maps?		□*	X
If yes, are site(s) appropriate?		□*	X
Is manure applied within 120 days (CAFOs 15 days)			
or covered?			X
p. Are fall/winter manure applications according to plan?	X		
q. Are the required setbacks being observed?	X		
r. Are pastured animals being managed as outlined in the plan	1? X	□*	
graphics and the design of the state of the			
2. Record Keeping; Are the following records maintained at t			
a. Crop yields:	X		
b. Manure/fertilizer application rates (includes comm. hauler)			
c. Soil test results current:	X		
d Manure analysis results:	X	□*	П

g II	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A	
e. Manure export sheets:	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	*		
f. Nutrient balance sheets:		X*3		
g. Rerun of the P-Index every 3 years:	X	- *		
g				
3. Manure Storage Information (where applicable)				
Note: Although they may not be Act 38 violations, "No" answers in t	nis secti	on requi	re remedia	al
action.				
a. Storage type and size: 65'x 8' in-ground circular tank				
b. Is perimeter fence and warning signage in place/maintained?		X^2		
c. Is the structure free of significant cracks or structural damage?	X			
d. Are embankments free of manure saturated areas (seepage)?	X			
e. Are interior/exterior slopes free of holes, trees or erosion?			X	
f. Has storage been certified by a Professional Engineer?	X			
g. Is Emergency Response Plan available on the operation?	X	_*		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_		
4. Animal Concentration Areas (ACAs)				
a. Are there ACAs on the operation (farmstead or pasture)?	X			
b. Is surface water adequately protected from runoff?		X*1		
c. Is erosion properly controlled at stream access point?		X*1		
d. Is manure collected and handled appropriately?		X*1		
e. Is animal access to stream properly controlled?	X	- *		
f. Are pastures free of ACAs where runoff is reaching a stream?	X	_*		
,		_		
nspector Notes:	Yes		No	
Are there violations of Act 38 regulations?	X			
f yes, specific violations (indicate section number and letter above):				
1k, 4b, 4B, 4C [or footnote 1]: Failure to protect surface water: Ma	nure &	spilled	feed flowi	ing
from barn to diversion around pond, killing vegetation and causin		-		
1f, 1g [or Footnote 2]: Failure to implement BMPs: Roof Runoff Si	_			
Fall 2020) not installed, fix gate, repair fence and install safety si				
Footnote 3: Failure to follow approved Plan: Manure exported to				
	iaiiis ii	ot fisted	III INIVII	or not
naving signed Export / Import Agreements and NBSs				
Footnote 4: Failure to follow approved Plan: Additional fields are	being f	farmed v	which are	not
ncluded or planned for in current NMP.				
Footnote 5: Manure application records were incomplete: Application	tion rec	cords we	ere not spe	ecific,
and without more to go on appeared to show overapplication on s	ome fie	lds.		
Are corrective actions needed?	X			
f yes, set approximate re-inspection date: 10/20/21				
Further action required (indicate section number and letter above):				
1. Clean up manure and make repairs caused by manure				
2. <u>Install BMPs, including all safety measures</u>				
3. Follow approved plan, or, with the help of a certified planner	amend	your pla	<u>n.</u>	

Additional Comments:

- 1. Recently installed barnyard looks good and is well maintained.
- 2. Although more detail is still needed, required record keeping has improved.

Signature of Inspector:	<u> </u>	
Signature of Operator:		
(Operator si	gnature does not signify gu	ilt or agreement)

Secretarian lead in the lead of the control of the second of the second

August 15, 2021

Eli Stoltzfus 398 Cherry Lane Fansville, PA 65543

Re: Requirement to Implement Your Current Nutrient Management Plan

Dear Mr. Stoltzfus,

This letter is sent as a follow up to my August 11, 2021 site visit where I assessed the status of your implementation of your Act 38 (commonly referred to as Pennsylvania's Nutrient and Odor Management Act) Nutrient Management Plan (NMP). Attached to this letter is a copy of the inspection report which outlines the various findings from my visit.

As is indicated on the inspection report, you were found to be out of compliance with your obligations under Act 38, relating to the below issues:

Non-Compliant Finding	Corrective action required	Timeframe to complete corrective action
1.) Failure to protect surface water from manure runoff: Manure mixed with spilled feed is leaving the western corner of rear barn above the pond, running downslope	a) Stop flow of manure leaving the barn, redirecting all manure to the storage, and clean up spilled feed and manure.	a) Immediately
towards the pond, concentrating in the diversion around the pond, before flowing towards the stream in woods. Runoff has killed the	b) Lime and reseed area between barn and diversion as well as diversion channel.	b) September 20, 2021
vegetation leading to and in the diversion, and is flowing towards the stream in a concentrated flow.	c) Repair small channel created from the runoff of manure and silage mixture.	c) September 20, 2021

2. Failure to implement or maintain Best Management Practices as per the		
implementation schedule listed in the approved NMP: a) Roof Runoff Structure (scheduled for Fall 2020).	a) Install stone dripline drain on back of heifer barn.	a) October 20, 2021
b) Vegetated Treatment Area (Scheduled for Fall 2020)	b) Install vegetated treatment area downslope of composting area	b) September 20, 2021
3.) Failure to implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed in approved NMP:		
c) Fix west gate on existing circular concrete storage (Home Farm)	c) Complete repair in full	c) September 20, 2021
d) Install warning signs on circular concrete storage (Hershey Farm).	d) Complete repair in full	d) September 20, 2021
e) Repair hole in manure storage fence (Hershey Farm)	e) Complete repair in full	e) September 20, 2021
* ,		v .
4.) Failure to follow approved Plan: Manure exported to farmers not listed in approved NMP or not having signed Export / Import Agreements and	Either follow your NMP by only exporting manure to those importers listed in your NMP as approved, <i>OR</i> , with the help of your certified NM planner,	Prior to exporting manure to any new importer

Nutrient Balance Sheets (NBSs)	update or amend your NMP to include additional importers.	The regulation of the
5.) Failure to follow approved Plan: Additional fields are being farmed which are not included in current Nutrient Management Plan.	Either follow your NMP by only applying manure to those fields listed in your NMP as approved, <i>OR</i> , with the help of your certified NM planner, update or amend your NMP to include additional fields.	Immediately
6.) Manure application records were incomplete: Observed manure application records were grouped rather than being field specific, with no way to determine rate per acre. Without more specific records, overapplication appears to have happened on some fields.	Manure application records must to be kept (ungrouped) for each individual field. Additionally, fields may not receive rates higher than that listed in the approved NMP.	Immediately

The SCC program policies oblige you to implement the required corrective actions within the timeframe provided in the above schedule in order to regain compliance with the law. I am directed to revisit your operation in order to confirm that you have implemented the required corrective actions outlined above.

I plan to perform your farm's follow-up visit on October 25th at 9:00 am in order to reassess your operation. Please make yourself available on that date so we can discuss the corrective actions you have taken to address these non-compliant issues. If you cannot meet on this date and time, please call me at least 5 days prior to this date so that we can reschedule to a date that meets yours and the accompanying agency representative's schedules.

If you have any questions relating to this obligation, please contact me at xxx-xxxx or email me at zztop@xyzconservation.org so that I can provide whatever additional information or direction you may need.

Sincerely,

NM Tech's name

Agriculture Conservation Technician X County Conservation District

cc: file